

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/610,558	9/610,558 07/05/2000		Kiyoshi Sato	9281/3683	3740	
757	7590	03/15/2004		EXAMINER		
GENERAL			KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH			
P.O. BOX 10		SON & LIONE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60611				2652	e.	
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	, 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/610,558	SATO, KIYOSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William J. Klimowicz	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.	July 2000.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	ved in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	ved
250 the attached detailed office delicit for a lie	a common deplot not receive	
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	Tacht Application (F 10-102)

Application/Control Number: 09/610,558

Art Unit: 2652 - - ---

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a thin film magnetic head, classified in class 360, subclass126.

II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a method of manufacturing a magnetic head, classified in class 29, subclass 603.15.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another process, such as chemical etching, laser ablation, etc.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction.for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I.

Figures 1, 2 and 4-8

Specie II.

Figures 3 and 9-12

Application/Control Number: 09/610,558

Art Unit: 2652

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Additionally, Applicant should identify any claims considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (703) 305-3452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William J. Klimowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

WJK